arXiv:1608.00153v1 [hep-ph] 30 Jul 2016

The 750 GeV LHC diphoton excess from a baryon number conserving string model

Christos Kokorelis[1,2]

[1]Department of Physics, National Technical University of Athens, 15780, Athens, Greece
[2]Natural Sciences Division, Hellenic Army Academy, Vari, 16673, Athens, Greece

Abstract

We propose an explanation of the LHC data excess resonance of 750 GeV in the diphoton distribution using D-brane models, with gauged baryon number, which accommodate the Standard Model together with vector like exotics. We identify the 750 GeV scalar as either the sneutrino ($\tilde{\nu}_R$) or as an axion. Using a bottom-up approch, $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is produced via gluon fusion when scalar (supersymmetric) partners of vector like quarks and leptons are generated by demanding that the corresponding intersections respect N=1 supersymmetry. When we generate the value of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ at 750 GeV, by varying the complex structure of the torus, the string scale is limited to be in the range $10^{14} < M_s < 10^{19}$ GeV. Also, generating the Higgs scalars by imposing N=1 supersymmetry on intersections fixes naturally, to zero, the coupling of the axion to SU(2) gauge bosons $\propto F_b \wedge F_b$ and in photon-photon fusion also decouples its coupling from G^2 of color SU(3) by simultaneously generating the superpartners of q_L, U_R, l_L, ν_R quarks and leptons.

1 Introduction

Run 2 LHC early data from ATLAS and CMS at an energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using integrated lunimocities of 3.2 fb^{-1} and 2.6 fb^{-1} show hints of a new resonance in the diphoton distribution of pp collisions at an invariant mass of 750 GeV [1, 2]. The corresponding excess in the cross section can be estimated to be $\sigma^{13\ TeV}_{pp\to\gamma\gamma} \sim 3-13$ fb [1,2]. At the Moriod 2016 conference, the ATLAS and CMS collaborations [3], [4], [5] updated their search, increasing the statistical significance of the excess around $m_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 750$ GeV (up to 3.9 in ATLAS and 3.4 in CMS, locally) but do not qualitatively change the main implications, still maintaining a hint for an excess at 750 GeV.
The origin of the new resonance has been attributed to a lot of different scenarios [6]-[31]. In most of the scenarios the 750 GeV resonance is a scalar (or a pseudoscalar) that is produced via gluon fusion ans subsequently decays to two photons, via loops of vector-like fermions. In the context of string theories a variety of works has dicsussed the diphoton excess [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44]. In this work, we use the $\tilde{\nu}_R$ as the source of the diphoton excess (DE) in baryon number conserving non-supersymmetric D-brane models, using the model of [45]. The presence of N=1 supersymmetry in particular intersections, gives birth to the previously massive $\tilde{\nu}_R$ that now become massless at the string scale and survives to low energies. Other works which used $\tilde{\nu}_R$ to explain DE, use an R-parity violating background in the MSSM [46], [47].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will discuss the basic structure of the non-supersymmetric intersecting D6-brane model considered. We discuss the interpretation of the DE in terms of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ in Section 3, especially the appearance of $\tilde{\nu}_R$, and extra fermions (also scalars), in the presence of supersymmetry on intersections. In Section 4 we describe the use of the axion in the D6-brane models considered, as a alternative possibility to explain the DE. Our models possess all the ingredients to explain the use of the string axion to explain the 750 GeV state in terms of gluon or photon fusion, when superpartners of Higgsinos and some SM ferrmions are present because of N=1 supersymmetries preserved at particular intersections. We conclude in Section 5.



Figure 1: Quarks and leptons at the intersecting brane $U(3)_c \times U(2)_w \times U(1)_c \times U(1)_d \times U(1)_e$ model.

2 The quiver Standard Model and its embedding on a string construction

2.1 The five stack quiver Standard Model

In intersecting brane constructions chiral fermions appear as open strings streching between brane intersecting at angles and gauge bosons living on branes. Each D-brane would give rise to a U(1) and the U(N) gauge group arises from N overlapping D-branes (stacks). By considering a stacks of D-brane configurations with $N_a, a = 1, \cdots, N$, parallel branes one gets the gauge group $U(N_1) \times U(N_2) \times \cdots \times U(N_a)$. Each $U(N_i)$ factor will give rise to an $SU(N_i)$ charged under the associated $U(1_i)$ gauge group factor that appears in the decomposition $SU(N_a) \times U(1)_a$. In this paper, we are using the five stack D6-brane string model of [45]. The initial gauge group of the model is $U(3)_c \times U(2)_b \times U(1)_c \times U(1)_d \times U(1)_e$ or $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_w \times U(1)_b \times U(1)_c \times U(1)_d \times U(1)_e$ at the string scale. The model has the interesting properties of elevating the global symmetries of the Standard model (SM) , namely Baryon B and Lepton number L, to local gauge symmetries. This model may be used to explain the diphoton excess observed from ATLAS and CMS collaborations. The representation content of the Standard Model is seen at figure (1) and table (1) charged under the five U(1)

symmetries Q_a, Q_b, Q_c, Q_d, Q_e.

Matter Fields		Intersection	Q_a	Q_b	Q_c	Q_d	Q_e	Y
Q_L	$(3,2)$	$I_{ab}=1$	1	-1	0	0	0	1/6
q_L	$2(3,2)$	$I_{ab^*}=2$	1	1	0	0	0	1/6
U_R	$3(\bar{3},1)$	$I_{ac}=-3$	-1	0	1	0	0	$-2/3$
D_R	$3(\bar{3},1)$	$I_{ac^*}=-3$	-1	0	-1	0	0	1/3
L	$2(1,2)$	$I_{bd}=-2$	0	-1	0	1	0	$-1/2$
l_L	$(1,2)$	$I_{be}=-1$	0	-1	0	0	1	$-1/2$
N_R	$2(1,1)$	$I_{cd}=2$	0	0	1	-1	0	0
E_R	$2(1,1)$	$I_{cd^*}=-2$	0	0	-1	-1	0	1
ν_R	$(1,1)$	$I_{ce}=1$	0	0	1	0	-1	0
e_R	$(1,1)$	$I_{ce^*}=-1$	0	0	-1	0	-1	1

Table 1: Low energy *chiral* fermionic spectrum of the five stack string scale $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_a \otimes U(1)_b \otimes U(1)_c \otimes U(1)_d \otimes U(1)_e$, type I D6-brane model together with its $U(1)$ charges. Note that at low energies only the SM gauge group $SU(3) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ survives.

There are various gauged low energy symmetries in the models. They are defined in terms of the U(1) symmetries Q_a, Q_b, Q_c, Q_d, Q_e, where the baryon number B and lepton number L, respectively are equal to

$$Q_a = 3B,\ L = Q_d + Q_e,\ Q_a - 3Q_d - 3Q_e = 3(B-L),\ Q_c = 2I_{3R} \qquad (2.1)$$

and I_{3R} being the third component of weak isospin and $3(B-L)$ and Q_c are free of triangle anomalies. The $U(1)_b$ symmetry plays the role of a Peccei-Quinn symmetry, having mixed SU(3) anomalies.

2.2 The embedding on a string construction

The interpretation of the DE in the context of string theory D-brane models, ideally, would be phenomenologically interesting if the string scale is low at the TeV region. At low scale D-brane models (LCD), extra dimensions transverse to the space where the D-branes are wrapping become large, when the string scale becomes low of order $\mathcal{O}$(TeV) and at the same time the Planck scale remains large [48], [49]. LCD's don't

need supersymmetry at the TeV and string constructions of low scale D5-brane models appeared in [50], [51]. Also LCD's possess low scale string excitations, a possible signal for LHC searches [52] and also extra Z' gauge bosons as the mass of Z' could be at the TeV region, e.g. $M_Z = (g_2^2 + g_Y^2)^{1/2}\upsilon/2 + \mathcal{O}(\upsilon^2/M_s^2)$ [54, 55]. The present toroidal 5-stack D6-brane models don't have large extra dimensions, but one can imagine a scenario that the six-torus can be kept small but connected to a large volume manifold [1]. Recently, assuming a low scale scenario, it has been shown that for a range of string scales between [10-20] TeV, the 5-stack D6-models predict the lowest Z' excitation to be in the range [3.5-5.5] TeV while accommodating current anomalies in $b \to sl^+l^-$ anomalies [54].

Lets us embed the SM quiver stucture of table (1) in a string compactification. Our SM quiver can be embedded in a bottom-up approach in string compactification of IIA theory on a six dimensional torus equipped with an orientifolded symmetry which converts, strings with D9-branes with fluxes compactified on a six-dimensional orientifolded torus T6 (where internal background gauge fluxes on the branes are turned on) by the use of a T-duality transformation on the x4, x5, x6, directions, into D6-branes intersecting at angles. In detail, swe assume that the D6$_a$-branes are wrapping 1-cycles (n_a^i, m_a^i) along each of the ith-T^2 torus of the factorized T^6 torus, namely $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$. That means that we allow our torus to wrap factorized 3-cycles, that can unwrap into products [2] of three 1-cycles Π_a, one for each T^2. We define the number of chiral fermions that are located at intersections of the branes a, b to be equal to the homology product of 3-cycles as

$$I_{ab} = \ [\Pi_a] \cdot [\Pi_b] = \prod_{i=1}^{3} (n_a^i m_b^i - m_a^i n_b^i) \tag{2.2}$$

and transforming in the bifundamental representation $(N_a, \bar{N}_b)$ for a left handed fermion with $I_a b > 0$. We also define the intersection number that determines the number of chiral fermions at the intersection of the a-brane with the orientifold image of the

[1] A light Z' gauge boson could be also achieved if extra branes are added to the RR tadpoles that do not intersect with the SM branes [53].

[2] We define the homology of the 3-cycle as $\Pi_a = \prod_{i=1}^{3}(n_a^i[a_i] + m_a^i[b_i])$. Because of the orientifold ΩR symmetry, where Ω is the worldvolume parity and R is the reflection on the T-dualized coordinates, $T(\Omega R)T^{-1} = \Omega R$, each D6$_a$-brane 3-cycle, must have its ΩR orientifold image $\Pi_{a^\star} = \prod_{i=1}^{3}(n_a^i[a_i] - m_a^i[b_i])$.

N_i	(n_i^1, m_i^1)	(n_i^2, m_i^2)	(n_i^3, m_i^3)
$N_a = 3$	$(1/\beta^1, 0)$	$(n_a^2, \epsilon\beta^2)$	$(3, \tilde{\epsilon}/2)$
$N_b = 2$	$(n_b^1, -\epsilon\beta^1)$	$(1/\beta^2, 0)$	$(\tilde{\epsilon}, 1/2)$
$N_c = 1$	$(n_c^1, \epsilon\beta^1)$	$(1/\beta^2, 0)$	$(0, 1)$
$N_d = 1$	$(1/\beta^1, 0)$	$(n_d^2, 2\epsilon\beta^2)$	$(1, -\tilde{\epsilon}/2)$
$N_e = 1$	$(1/\beta^1, 0)$	$(n_e^2, \epsilon\beta^2)$	$(1, -\tilde{\epsilon}/2)$

Table 2: D6-branes wrapping numbers giving rise to the standard model gauge group and chiral spectrum of table (1) at low energies. The solutions depend on five integer parameters, n_a^2, n_d^2, n_e^2, n_b^1, n_c^1, the NS-background β^i and the phase parameters $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\tilde{\epsilon} = \pm 1$.

b-brane, namely

$$I_{ab^\star} = [\Pi_a] \cdot [\Pi_{b^\star}] = -\prod_{i=1}^{3} (n_a^i [a_i] + m_a^i [b_i]) \tag{2.3}$$

The fermions at the ab* intersection transform at the representation (N_a, N_b) for a left handed fermion with $I_a b* > 0$. Any vacuum derived from the previous intersection constraints is subject additionally to constraints coming from RR tadpole cancellation conditions [56]. That demands cancellation of D6-branes charges, wrapping on three cycles with homology $[\Pi_a]$ and O6-plane 7-form charges wrapping on 3-cycles with homology $[\Pi_{O_6}]$. Note that the RR tadpole cancellation conditions which represent the cancellation of RR charges in homology are

$$\sum_a N_a [\Pi_a] + \sum_{\alpha'} N_{\alpha'} [\Pi_{\alpha'}] - 32 [\Pi_{O_6}] = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

These conditions in string theory are stronger that the cancellation of non-abelian gauge anomalies of gauge theories, as it takes into account all the ultarviolet completion of the spectrum of the theory. The intersection numbers of the Standard Model quiver of table (1) and figure (1) are solved by the wrapping numbers seen at table (2).

Mixed U(1) gauge anomalies are cancelled via a generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism involving closed sector RR fields. Most of the five U(1)'s but two of them become massive(see eqn's 4.3-4.6). The massless U(1)'s are

$$Q^M = n_c^1 (Q_a - 3Q_d - 3Q_e) - \frac{3\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2 (n_a^2 + n_d^2 + n_e^2)}{2\beta^1} Q_c, \ (3n_a^2 + 3n_d^2 + 3n_e^2) \neq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$Q^N = \frac{3\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2}{2\beta^1} (Q_a - 3Q_d - 3Q_e) + 19 n_c^1 Q_c. \tag{2.6}$$

When the condition

$$n_c^1 = \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2}{2\beta^1}(n_a^2 + n_d^2 + n_e^2), \quad n_c^1 \neq 0 \tag{2.7}$$

is satisfied, Q^M coincides with the Standard Model hypercharge assignment

$$U(1)^Y = \frac{1}{6}U(1)_a - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_c - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_d - \frac{1}{2}U(1)_e \ . \tag{2.8}$$

The tadpole conditions are

$$\frac{9n_a^2}{\beta^1} + 2\frac{n_b^1}{\beta^2} + \frac{n_d^2}{\beta^1} + \frac{n_e^2}{\beta^1} + N_D\frac{2}{\beta^1\beta^2} = 16. \tag{2.9}$$

which simply adds a further constraint on the undetermined parameters of table (2). We have allowed the addition of N_D extra branes with wrappings $(1/\beta_1, 0)(1/\beta_2, 0)(2, m_D)$ that don't intersect with the rest of the branes and thus don't generate additional SM particles. The electroweak Higgses that are necessary for giving masses to all quarks and leptons are listed in table (3). Proton is stable due to the fact that baryon number

Intersection	EW breaking Higgs	Q_b	Q_c	Y
bc	h_1	1	-1	1/2
bc	h_2	-1	1	-1/2
$bc^\star$	H_1	-1	-1	1/2
$bc^\star$	H_2	1	1	-1/2

Table 3: Electroweak symmetry breaking Higgses in the 5-stack D6-brane model [45].

B(is an unbroken gauged global symmetry surviving at low energies) anomalies cancel through a generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism(see). In the D6-brane models with four stacks [57] and in the five stack models [45], it was noted that the Higsses arising from intersections bc, bc*, are part of the massive spectrum. They could become massless by varying the distance between the parallel branes across the 2nd tori for both intersections. In this work, we follow a different approach. The Higgs, from the NS sector, responsible for electroweak symmetry breaking will be generated by demanding that N=1 supersymmetry is preserved at the intersections bc, bc* not necessarily being the same one. Since the models are non-supersymmetric (non-SUSY) we expect that some intersections to respect some supersymmetry providing us with further constraints on the parameters n_b^1, n_c^1, etc. Related issues on N=1 supersymmetries on non-SUSY

D-brane models have been discussed in [61], [62]. The Yukawa interactions for the chiral spectrum of the SM's yield:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_j^U Q_L U_R^j h_1 + Y_j^D Q_L D_R^j H_2 \quad &+ \quad Y_{ij}^u q_L^i U_R^j H_1 + Y_{ij}^d q_L^i D_R^j h_2 \; + \\ Y_h^l \; l_L^{\bar{h}} \; \nu_R^{\bar{h}} \; h_1 + Y_h^e l_L^{\bar{h}} e_R^{\bar{h}} H_2 \quad &+ \quad Y_{ij}^N L^i N_R^j h_1 + Y_{ij}^E L_i E_R^j H_2 + \; h.c \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $i = 1, 2,\ j = 1, 2, 3,\ \bar{h} = 1$. The nature of Yukawa couplings is such that the lepton and neutrino sector of the models distinguish between different generations, e.g. the first generation from the other two generations, as one generation of neutrinos (resp. leptons) is placed on a different intersection from the other two one's. For example looking at the charged leptons of table (1) we see that one generation of charged leptons l_L gets localized on *be*-intersection, while the other two generations of leptons L get localized in the *bd*-intersection.

3 Interpretation of the Diphoton Excess

3.1 Di-photon preliminaries

We will consider only the case that the 750 GeV spin-0 resonance is produced from gluon decays into photons. In this case, the diphoton excess is explained via the resonant process pp $\rightarrow$ S $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ where S is a new uncoloured scalar boson with mass M, spin J, and width Γ coupled to partons inside the proton. The signal cross section for the scalar mediated process mediating on shell scalar singlet S, is approximated as follows:

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{2J+1}{sM_\Gamma}(C_{gg}\Gamma(S \rightarrow gg) + \sum_q C_{q\bar{q}}\Gamma(S \rightarrow q\bar{q}))\Gamma(S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Assuming a spin-zero particle produced resonantly via gluon fusion, we arrive at (the last part of the following eqn. can be seen at [60])

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \overset{13\ TeV}{\approx} K_{13} \cdot 4.92 \cdot 10^6\ fb\ \frac{\Gamma_{gg}}{\Gamma}\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma}\frac{\Gamma}{M_x} \approx K_{13} \times 4.9 \cdot 10^6 fb \quad \frac{\Gamma_{gg}}{\Gamma}\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{\Gamma}\frac{\Gamma}{M_x} \tag{3.2}$$

where we have taken into account the QCD NLO enhancement K-factors $K_{13} \approx 1.5$ [13, 66, 67] and $\Gamma_{gg} = \Gamma(S \rightarrow gg)$, $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma} = \Gamma(S \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$. $\Gamma = \Gamma_{gg} + \Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}, \sqrt{s}$ are the

total width and the center of mass energy ($\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV) respectively and C_{gg} is the partonic integral [58]

$$C_{gg} = \int_{M_S/s}^{1} f_g(x) f_g(\frac{M_S}{sx}) \frac{dx}{x} \,,$$

where $f_g(x)$ is the function representing the gluon distribution inside the proton. The integral is computed using MSTW2008NNLO [58] and its numerical value at 13 TeV is estimated [13] to be $C_{gg} = 2137$. Thus in the narrow width approximation

$$\sigma(gg \to S \to \gamma\gamma) = K_{13} \times \frac{1}{M_S \cdot \Gamma \cdot s} C_{gg} \Gamma(S \to gg) \Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma) \,,$$

The partial widths $\Gamma(S \to gg), \Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)$ from loops involving fermions and scalars are given by [59](also [13])

$$\frac{\Gamma(X \to gg)}{M_S} = \frac{\alpha_3^2}{2\pi^3} \left| \sum_f C_{r_f} \sqrt{\tau_f} y_f \bar{S}(\tau_f) + \sum_s C_{r_s} \frac{A_s}{2M_S} P(\tau_s) \right|^2 , \tag{3.3}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)}{M_S} = \frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi^3} \left| \sum_f d_{r_f} q_f^2 \sqrt{\tau_f} y_f \bar{S}(\tau_f) + \sum_s d_{r_s} q_s^2 \frac{A_s}{2M_S} P(\tau_s) \right|^2 , \tag{3.4}$$

where $a_3 = 0.1$, $\alpha = 1/128$, C_r is the Dynkin index of the colour representation ($C_r = 3$ for the triplet), d_r is its dimension, q_s the charge and $\sqrt{\tau_a} = \frac{2m_a}{M_S}$, with $a = f, s$ for the fermion and scalar masses respectively. The functions $\bar{S}(\tau), P(\tau)$ are [63]

$$\bar{S}(\tau) = 1 + (1 - \tau) f(\tau), \; P(\tau) = \tau f(\tau) - 1 \,,$$

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \arctan^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau - 1}} & \tau > 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left(\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau}} - i\pi \right)^2 ; & \tau \leq 1 \end{cases} . \tag{3.5}$$

In order to show that the D-brane model has the ingredients to explain diphoton excess, we choose the gluon fusion production cross section (3.2) at $\sigma(pp \to \gamma\gamma) \approx 3$ fb, which is the experimentally favoured value as extracted from a fit to the preferred cross [3] sections of Morion data conference [3], [4], [5] and consider two sample cases, one of a broad resonance as favoured by ATLAS ($\Gamma = 45$ GeV) and another one of a narrow resonance as favoured by CMS. In this case, repeating the procedure of [13] and assuming that

[3] See relevant comment on p.29 of [66].

production from $\gamma\gamma$ partons can be neglected with respect to production from gg, we get

$$ATLAS,\quad BR(S\to\gamma\gamma)\ BR(S\to gg)\approx 6.1\times 10^{-7}\frac{M_S}{\Gamma}\ \overset{\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}=0.06,\ \Gamma=45\ GeV}{\approx}\ 1.02\times 10^{-5} \tag{3.6}$$

$$CMS,\quad BR(S\to\gamma\gamma)\ BR(S\to gg)\approx 6.1\times 10^{-7}\frac{M_S}{\Gamma}\ \overset{\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}=0.00013,\ \Gamma=0.1\ GeV}{\approx}\ 4.69\times 10^{-3} \tag{3.7}$$

or equivalently

$$ATLAS,\quad \frac{\Gamma_{gg}}{M_S}\ \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{M_S}\approx 6.1\cdot 10^{-7}\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}\ \overset{\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}=0.06,\ \Gamma=45\ GeV}{\approx}\ 3.66\times 10^{-8} \tag{3.8}$$

$$CMS,\quad \frac{\Gamma_{gg}}{M_S}\ \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}}{M_S}\approx 6.1\cdot 10^{-7}\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}\ \overset{\frac{\Gamma}{M_S}=0.00013,\ \Gamma=0.1\ GeV}{\approx}\ 8.13\times 10^{-11} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have neglected the K_{13} factor in the calculation of (3.6), (3.8), (3.7), (3.9).

3.2 The sneutrino $\tilde{\nu}_R$ a candidate for generating the diphoton excess

In [45] we imposed N=1 supersymmetry (SUSY) on the intersection ce of the intersecting at angles branes c and e, as a means to generate the spartner of ν_R, namely $\tilde{\nu}_R$, which was used to break the extra U(1) (2.6) that is surviving massless beyond hypercharge at low energies[4]. The N=1 SUSY condition [5] on intersection ce is

$$ce:\quad +(tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_c^1})+(-tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_e^2})-(tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2}+\frac{\pi}{2})=0\ , \tag{3.10}$$

which is solved (possessing the N=1 susy (++-) in the notation of [61]) by choosing

$$\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_c^1}=\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2},\quad -tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_e^2}=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

$$n_e^2=0,\ \epsilon<0 \tag{3.12}$$

That necessarily fixes

$$\epsilon=-1,\ \tilde{\epsilon}=1,\ n_c^1<0 \tag{3.13}$$

[4]There is no B $\wedge F_i$ Chern-Simons couplings to the hypercharge Q^M, where F_i the non-abelian field strength of the U(N)$_i$ gauge bosons. As a result $Q^M\equiv Q^Y$ survives massless to low energies.

[5]We define the complex structure as $U^i=\frac{m_i\ R_i^2}{n_a\ R_1^i}$, i=1, 2, 3 for the three tori. See [45] for details.

In this work, we interpret the observed diphoton excess as a 750 GeV scalar neutrino $\tilde{\nu}_R$ resonance generated via gluon fusion. Since it has a low mass, it can no longer be used to break the U(1) (2.6). Instead this U(1) could be broken by another gauge singlet scalar, the spartner of N_R's located at the intersection cd which becomes massless if there is a N=1 SUSY preserved at the intersection cd (namely the ++-, N=1 susy in the notation of [61]). The latter happens when the condition

$$cd: \quad +(tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_c^1}) + (tan^{-1}\frac{2\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_d^2}) - (\frac{\pi}{2} + tan^{-1}(\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2})) = 0 \overset{(3.3)}{\rightarrow} n_d^2 = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

is satisfied, where we have also used (3.11). Since ν_R is located with multiplicity one at an intersection, while N_R appears with multiplicity two at another intersection, one of the spartners of N_R's could be used to break the U(1) (2.6). Also we could (as was applied recently at [54]) identify ν_R as belonging to the third generation where also we identify Q to be (t, b)$_L$ and identify q to be (u, d)$_L$, (c, s)$_L$; we also identify L to represent (e, ν_e)$_L$ and (μ, ν)$_L$ and l_L to be (τ, ν_τ)$_L$; also U, D represent (u, c, t)$_R$ and (d, s, b)$_R$ respectively. Also (e, ν)$_R$ is identified with the right-handed electron and electron-neutrino while (E, N)$_R$ are identified with the first and and second generation right-handed leptons. Thus our 5-stack D6-brane model at the string scale generates beyond the chiral spectrum of the SM and also the spartners of the neutrinos, by imposing some N=1 SUSY to be preserved at the ce intersection. There are also non-chiral fermions at the intersections ad, ad*, ae, ae*, bc, bc* de, be* as it happens some branes to be parallel along the same T^2 torus and intersecting at angles along the rest of the tori. We discuss later those issues as matter it concerns their effect on DE.

3.3 Using fermions to saturate the decay widths $S \to \gamma\gamma, S \to gg$

• *Contributions to* $\Gamma(S \to gg)/M_x$ *from coloured vector-like fermion states*

The $\tilde{\nu}_R$ (identified as S from now on) generates the Yukawa coupling interaction[6]

$$y\ S_{(0,0,1,0,-1)}\ (I^{ac*}_{(-1,0,-1,0,0)})\ (I^{ae*}_{(-1,0,-1,0,0)}) = y\ S^0\ D_R^{1/3}\ {X_1}^{-1/3} \quad (3.15)$$

is the only[7] fermionic contribution to the gluon fusion partial width $\Gamma(S \to gg)$. X_1 is located at the intersection ae*. Because $I_{ae*} = 0$ at this intersection, we have generation

[6]The intersection numbers inside the parenthesis denotes the corresponding fermion that is localized at this intersection.

[7]The superscript denotes the hypercharge assignment.

♯ X_1	X_1 Mass	Γ_{gg}/M_x
$2(3,1)$	800	$1.7 \times 10^{-5} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	900	$1.3 \times 10^{-5} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	1000	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	1100	$8.8 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	1200	$7.3 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	1500	$4.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	2000	$2.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	2200	$2.1 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	2500	$1.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	3000	$1.1 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	3100	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} y^2$
$2(3,1)$	3200	$9.9 \times 10^{-7} y^2$

Table 4: Contributing exotic bottom quark with charge 1/3 coloured state from eqn.(3.15) to the Γ_{gg}/M_x.

of the non-chiral coloured states $(X_1)_{(1,0,0,0,1)} = (3,1), (X_2)_{(-1,0,0,0,-1)} = (\bar{3},1)$. The number of X_1's is calculated by the non-zero intersection number in the non-parallel tori and is given by $I_{X_1} = |\beta_2(n_e^2 + n_a^2)| \overset{3.12}{=} |\beta_2 n_a^2|$. Choosing (for reasons clarified, later on, in the paper)

$$\beta_2 = 1, \quad n_a^2 = -2 \tag{3.16}$$

and substituting n_e^2 from (3.12), we have at least 2 X_1's generating 2 vector pairs by pairing them with the light quarks $D_R = b_R$. Generally this mixing could influence proton decay. However, in our models baryon number is a gauge symmetry and thus proton is stable. The contribution of b_R with a mass of $m_{b_R} = 4.7$ MeV to (3.4) is small, of the order of $10^{-10} y^2$ (assuming $y \approx \mathcal{O}(1)$) and may not be considered further[8] Limits on the masses of vector-like bottom B quarks with electric charge -1/3 and vector couplings to W, Z, and H bosons do exist at 95 % confidence level, assuming decays into standard model particles such as $B \to Wt, Zb, Hb$ at 95 % C.L. Lower limits are in the range 740-900 GeV [64] or 575-813 GeV [65] for CMS and ATLAS respectively. In

[8]The other two generations of right handed down quarks, d, s provide us with small contributions as well that can be neglected.

table (4) we are summarizing the contributions of X_1 exotic bottom quarks with masses between 800 GeV and 3200 GeV to Γ_{gg}/M_S. We consider their Yukawa coupling a free parameter and use $C_{X_1} = 1/2$ in (3.3). skata We note that perturbative Type IIA string theory allows in general for a range of y including large values in the interval $y \in [O(1), O(50)]$ [33].

• *Contributions to* $\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)/M_S$

Contributions to the $S \to \gamma\gamma$ loop diagrams could come from the Yukawa mass terms involving mixing of S with the right handed fermion singlets from cd* intersection, the E_R and its vector pair from de* intersection as follows from skata

$$y_s \cdot S^{Y=0}_{(0,0,1,0,-1))} \cdot (I_{cd*})^{Y=+1}_{(0,0,-1,-1,0)} \cdot (I_{de*})^{Y=-1}_{(0,0,0,1,1)} = y_s \cdot S \cdot E_R^{Y=1} \cdot (I_{de*})^{Y=-1}_{(0,0,0,1,1)} \quad (3.17)$$

However, the number of I_{de*} fermions is equal to $\beta_2(2n_d^2 + n_e^2) \overset{(3.12,3.14)}{=} 0$. Thus there is no contribution from (3.17) to $S \to \gamma\gamma$ width. The following vector pair of fermion weak doublets seen in the Yukawa coupling

$$y_{\tilde{h}}\ S \cdot (I_{bc})^{1/2} \cdot (I_{be})^{-1/2} = y_{\tilde{h}}\ S \cdot (I_{bc})^{1/2} \cdot l_L^{-1/2} = y_{\tilde{h}}\ S^0 \cdot h_1^{1/2} \cdot l_L^{-1/2}\ , \quad (3.18)$$

contributes the to $S \to \gamma\gamma$ width. It is generated by a mixing from the higgsinos $\tilde{h}_1$ from bc intersection with the tau lepton, the lepton doublet of the third generation, that appears with multiplicity one. The number of higgsinos [9] is calculated from the non-zero intersection numbers in the first and third tori

$$|I_{bc}| = |(\epsilon\beta_1)(n_b^1 + n_c^1)|,\ n_c^1 = \frac{\beta_2}{2\beta_1} n_a^2 \quad (3.19)$$

Making the choice [10]

$$n_a^2 \overset{(3.16)}{=} -2, n_c^1 \overset{3.19}{=} -2,\ n_b^1 = 0,\ \beta_1 = 1/2,\ \beta_2 \overset{(3.16)}{=} 1 \to I_{bc} = 1 \quad (3.20)$$

the number of higgsinos is one, thus generating one vector weak pair of doublets that mixes with the tau lepton. Using the tau lepton mass $m_\tau = 1.78$ GeV, we find that its contribution to the decay width $\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)/M_S$ is small of the order of 6×10^{-12} and

[9] At the bc intercection, we have localized a non-chiral pair of Higgsinos, each of them appearing with multiplicity given by (3.19) and (3.20). Explicitlty, they are $(\tilde{h}_1)_{(0,\ 1,\ -1,\ 0,\ 0)}$, $(\tilde{h}_2)_{(0,\ -1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0)}$.

[10] The choice $n_b^1 = 0$ may be justified later. See (3.24).

y_s	$y_{\tilde{h}}$	$M_c \equiv M_{X_1}$	Γ_{gg}/M_S	$\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M_S$	$m_{\tilde{h}}$
1	17.88	800	$1.7 \times 10^{-5} y^2$	4.78×10^{-6}	800
1	20.71	900	$1.3 \times 10^{-5} y^2$	6.25×10^{-6}	800
1	23.84	1000	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} y^2$	8.13×10^{-6}	800
1	25.49	1100	$8.8 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	0.92×10^{-5}	800
1	28.20	1200	$7.3 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	1.11×10^{-5}	800
1	35.80	1500	$4.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	1.77×10^{-5}	800
1	47.90	2000	$2.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	3.13×10^{-5}	800
1	53.40	2200	$2.1 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	3.87×10^{-5}	800
1	61.25	2500	$1.6 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	5.08×10^{-5}	800
1	74.00	3000	$1.1 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	7.39×10^{-5}	800
1	77.60	3100	$1.0 \times 10^{-6} y^2$	8.13×10^{-5}	800
1	78.00	3200	$9.9 \times 10^{-7} y^2$	0.84×10^{-4}	800

Table 5: Values of Yukawa couplings for the higgsino and the exotic charge 1/3 quark are obtained within the perturbative regime of string Yukawa couplings in the intersecting D6-brane model [45]. We assume $\Gamma_{total} = 0.1$ GeV.

can be neglected in the following. In the case in question, colour triplets with charge 1/3 are mediating $S \to \gamma\gamma$ with mass M_c, Yukawa coupling y, $Q_c = 1/3$, $d = 2$, while $\tilde{h}_1$ higgsinos with mass $M_{\tilde{h}}$ and coupling $y_{\tilde{h}}$ contribute with $Q_{\tilde{h}} = 1$, d=1. At table 5, we present the results of fixing the value of higgsinos at 800 GeV and subsequently calculating their contribution to Γ_{gg}/M_S assuming the Yukawa couplings of the triplets are in the perturbative regime $\mathcal{O}(1)$ [33]

$$y_s = 1, m_{\tilde{h}} = 800\ GeV \tag{3.21}$$

Then by varying the values of the colour triplet between 800-3200 GeV, we calculated the values of the higgsino couplings, such that the product $\Gamma_{gg}\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}/M_S^2$ of eqn. (3.9) takes its LHC predicted value at $\sigma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma) \approx 3$ fb assuming a narrow width $\Gamma_{total} = 0.1$ GeV. Table (5) summarizes our results. We find that, at $\sigma \approx 3$ fb, with fixed higgsino mass $m_{\tilde{h}} = 800$ GeV, only values of the exotic down quark with charge 1/3, between [800-2000] GeV, possess perturbative string couplings (excluding the last five entries of table (5)) that are consistent with the CMS predicted diphoton excess rates (3.9).



Figure 2: The cross section $\sigma(pp \to X \to \gamma\gamma)$ (in fb units) in the parametric space of the Higgsino h_1 for a selection of the exotic down quark masses with charge 1/3 at 800, 1000, 1200 GeV. The couplings take values within perturbative string theory regime. We have set $y_s = 1$ and $y_{\tilde{h}} = 12$.

3.4 Diphoton excess in the presence of extra scalars

We have shown that a scalar superpartner of the right handed neutrino can account for the diphoton excess signal of 750 GeV and also discussed the presence of vector-like fermions which populate eqn.'s (3.3, 3.4) to explain the diphoton excess. It is also possible to generate vector-like scalar contributions to eqn.'s (3.4) by demanding that N=1 supersymmetry is preserved at the intersections I_{ij} where the vector-like fermions are localized. Thus the previously massive scalars become massless at the intersections completing the N=1 chiral multiplet structure. The scalar may appear with the same multiplicity as the corresponding fermion. Wc will briefly discuss the conditions for these scalars to exist, without further providing further details of their contribution to $\Gamma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma)$.

• *Contributions to* $\Gamma(S \to gg)/M_x$ *from coloured vector-like scalar states*
Scalar contributions to $\Gamma(S \to gg)/M_S$ could be generated by demanding that in eqn.(3.15), the intersections bc, be a N=1 supersymmetry is preserved by the corresponding branes and thus the non-chiral spartners of higgsinos the electroweak Higgses

and the spartners of the the 3rd generation of leptons appear respectively. Note that as the models we discuss are not supersymmetric, these supersymmetries need not be necessarily the same. The N=1 supersymmetry condition at the intersection[11] bc is [12]

$$bc \ : \ (-tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta^1 U^1}{n_b^1} - tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta^1 U^1}{n_c^1}) \pm 0 + (tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0 \ , \tag{3.23}$$

which is solved by eqn. (3.11) and

$$-\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U^1}{n_b^1} \ = \ \frac{\pi}{2} \rightarrow \quad n_b^1 = 0, \quad \epsilon \overset{(3.11)}{=} -1 \tag{3.24}$$

Condition (3.23) generates the electroweak scalar Higgses, superpartners of higgsinos $\tilde{h}_1$, $\tilde{h}_2$, seen at table (3).

The N=1 supersymmetry condition at the intersection be (generating the scalar superpartner of l_L) is

$$be: \quad +(-tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U^1}{n_b^1}) + (-tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U^2}{n_e^2}) - (tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{6} + tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2}) = 0 \ , \tag{3.25}$$

which is solved by

$$tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{6} + tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2} = \pi, \quad \tilde{\epsilon} = 1 \tag{3.26}$$

Solving (3.26) the value of complex structure moduli across the third torus is fixed at

$$U_3 \approx 4.2 \times 10^{16} \tag{3.27}$$

3.5 Estimating the mass of $\tilde{\nu}_R$

The mass of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ of the yet unseen 750 GeV diphoton excess candidate in our D-brane model can be generated geometrically by varying slightly the complex structure U_1 in the first torus. In fact, by setting its mass to 750 GeV, we will be able to set constraints

[11] At the bc intersection there are two N=1 supersymmetries that are preserved, namely the (+++), (+-+). Thus this is a N=2 supersymmetry preserving intersection. Necessarily, the conditions (3.11), (3.24) also solve the supersymmetry condition on the intersection bc*, that is

$$(-tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_b^1} + tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_c^1}) \pm 0 - (tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

Note that the pair of N=1 susy's preserved at bc* intersection, namely the (++-), (+–), are different than the one's preserved at the bc intersection.

[12] Where the expressions inside the parenthesis denote the angles in the respective complex 3-dimensional orientifolded tori.

M_s (GeV)	10000	10^{10}	10^{11}	10^{12}	10^{13}	10^{14}	10^{15}	10^{16}	10^{18}	10^{19}
$\delta_1 \times 9.92$	10^{30}	10^{18}	10^{16}	10^{14}	10^{12}	10^{10}	10^{8}	10^{6}	100	1

Table 6: Values of complex structure variation against the string scale. We assume that the mass of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ equals 750 GeV. The preferred values of $M_s \in \{10^{14} - 10^{19}\}$ GeV. Values of δ_1 are compared against the value of U_3.

on the string scale of the models. This procedure is equivalent to turning on a Fayet-Iliopoulos term in the effective theory. Assuming a slight departure of U_1 from its value (3.12)

$$U^1 = \frac{n_c^1}{2\beta_1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}U^3 + \delta_1 \tag{3.28}$$

where $\delta_1 << U_3$, we find (M_s the string scale)

$$m^2_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = -\frac{M_s^2}{2}\frac{\frac{2\beta_1}{n_c^1}\delta_1}{1+(\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2})^2} \tag{3.29}$$

As a representative example, we assume that $m_{\nu_R} = 750$ GeV, the string scale $M_s = 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV and using $\beta_1 = 1/2,\ n_c^1 = -2$, we find that

$$\delta_1 = 2.48 \times 10^6 \tag{3.30}$$

is really a small number compared to U_3 value (3.27). Eqn. (3.28) also fixes moduli U_1. Varying the string scale from 7 TeV $< M_s <$ 10^{19} GeV, we list at table (6) that small numbers (less or equal to 1% of U_3) for the variation δ_1 are produced only for $10^{14} < M_s < 10^{19}$ GeV and thus a high string scale is preferred. For the parameter values we have used, namely $n_e^2 = n_d^2 = n_b^1 = 0$, $-\epsilon = \tilde{\epsilon} = 1,\ \beta_1 = 1/2,\ \beta_2 = 1$ the tadpole condition (2.9) is satisfied for $N_D = 13$ hidden anti-branes. Thus the wrappings of the extra branes become $(1/\beta_1, 0)(1/\beta_2, 0)(2, -m_D)$. The high string scale result was expected as at the present string models have a naturally high string scale since there are no transverse dimensions to the branes that can be made large by lowering the string scale at the TeV [48], [49].

4 The axion as a string theory 750 GeV candidate responsible for di-photon excess

In [41], [34], [35], [36] the axion was discussed as a solution to the diphoton excess problem in the context of string theory. The parameters of our classes of D6-brane string models, accommodate these scenaria when certain superpartners of SM particles are present.

The effective axion Langrangian for an axion Φ coupled to gluons and photons for our toroidal models is (see [36] for relevant dicsussion)

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_0} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} g_g \frac{\Phi}{f} G_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha_Y}{4\pi} g_Y \frac{\Phi}{f} B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{4.1}$$

where f the axion decay coupling constant; α_s, α_Y the strong and hypercharge fine structure constants; g_s, g_Y are model dependent coefficients. In the context of the toroidal models we are discussing there are four potential candidate axions Φ^i, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Their duals, the RR sector two forms B_2^i (and their four dimensional Poincare dual axion scalars Φ^i) couple to all the U(1) field strengths F_i [57] (part of the original U(N) gauge groups of the different brane stacks) as

$$\sum_\alpha k_\alpha^i \left(B^i \wedge tr(F^\alpha) \right), \tag{4.2}$$

In the present models the five different U(1)'s couple as [45] :

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^1 \wedge \left(\frac{-2\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^1}{\beta^2} \right) F^b, \\ B_2^2 \wedge \left(\frac{\epsilon\beta^2}{\beta^1} \right) (9F^a + 2F^d + F^e), \\ B_2^3 \wedge \left(\frac{3\tilde{\epsilon}n_a^2}{2\beta^1} F^a + \frac{n_b^1}{\beta^2} F^b + \frac{n_c^1}{\beta^2} F^c - \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}n_d^2}{2\beta^1} F^d - \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}n_e^2}{2\beta^1} F^e \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Notice that $B \wedge F$ couplings induce a Stueckelberg mass term for the anomalous U(1)'s that has a non-zero k_a^i. The three U(1)'s that couple to B_2^i, i=1,2,3, cancel their triangle anomalies, receive a mass and the corresponding U(1)'s remain as global symmetries to low energies. The fourth U(1) combination, the hypercharge (2.5, 2.7, 2.9) also remains massless as it does not couple to any F^i's. The coupling of B_2^0 to any F^i is zero (as we have imposed the condition $\Pi_{i=1}^3 m^i = 0$ to all branes by construction to this class of models) and thus the associated axion Φ^0 that stays massless. The associated axions

Φ^i from the closed string sector couple to the U(N) field strengths as

$$\sum_\alpha \lambda^i_\alpha \ \Phi^i \ tr(F^\alpha \wedge F^\alpha), \ \alpha = a, b, c, d, e; \ i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \tag{4.4}$$

cancelling the mixed U(1) triangle anomalies A^{ij} of the massive U(1)'s to the gauge groups as $A^{ij} + k^i_\alpha \lambda^j_\alpha = 0$. In fact, the lagrangian coupling of the axion becomes

$$\Phi_o \left(\lambda^a_o \ F_a \wedge F_a + \lambda^b_o \ F_b \wedge F_b + \lambda^d_o \ F_d \wedge F_d + \lambda^e_0 \ F_e \wedge F_e \right), \tag{4.5}$$

where λ^a_i are model dependent coefficients. In our models, the non-zero axion-like couplings are

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^1 \wedge [\frac{\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2}{2\beta^1}(F^a \wedge F^a) - \frac{\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2}{\beta^1}(F^d \wedge F^d) - \frac{\epsilon\tilde{\epsilon}\beta^2}{2\beta^1}F^e \wedge F^e)], \\ \Phi^2 \wedge [\frac{-\epsilon\beta^1}{2\beta^2}(F^b \wedge F^b) + \frac{\epsilon\beta^1}{\beta^2}(F^c \wedge F^c)], \\ \Phi^o \wedge \left(\frac{3n^2_a}{\beta^1}(F^a \wedge F^a) + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}n^1_b}{\beta^2}(F^b \wedge F^b) + \frac{n^2_d}{\beta^1}(F^d \wedge F^d) + \frac{n^2_e}{\beta^1}(F^e \wedge F^e) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The present models offer a variety of possibilities as matter as it concerns the possible couplings of axion to QCD field strength and the photon. We list them as follows :

4.1 Producing the axion via photon fusion

In section (3), sneutrino was the 750 GeV candidate that was produced via gluon fusion. Assuming at this section that the axion (and not the $\tilde{\nu}_R$) could be the 750 GeV candidate, the scalar $\tilde{\nu}_R$ could be used to break the extra beyond hypercharge U(1) (2.6). Let us further assume that the axion is produced via photon fusion. This possibility has been discussed in the four stack models of [34] assuming a low scale string theory. In our 5-stack parametric classes of string models, photon fusion is easily accommodated if the coupling of the axions to the SU(2), SU(3) field strengths become zero, namely

$$\begin{aligned} n^2_a = 0, \ n^1_b = 0, \ n^2_e = 0 \\ (or \ n^2_a = 0, \ n^1_b = 0, n^2_d = 0) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We have seen in (3.24) that the coefficient n^1_b, which describes the axion coupling to the SU(2) gauge bosons, can be relaxed to zero as a result of imposing N=1 supersymmetry on the intersections $bc, bc*$, thus generating the Higgs necessary to give masses to all

quarks and fermions. The condition $n_e^2 = 0$ is derived in (3.12) by demanding that a N=1 supersymmetry is preserved at the intersection *ce*, in order to generate a massless sneutrino[13] that is used to break the U(1) (2.6). Finally, the condition $n_a^2 = 0$ is necessary if we want the axion to be produced by photon fusion. In this case (as in [34], [35]), the axion should not couple to colour SU(3) gauge bosons to avoid unwanted diphoton diphoton signals. We derive this condition by demanding that the spartner of right handed up quark U_R is generated when N=1 supersymmetry is preserved at the intersection ac where the spartner of U_R is localized. The supersymmetry condition at ac is

$$ac: \quad (tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_c^1}) + (tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_a^2}) + (tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{6} - \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0 \tag{4.8}$$

The branes a, c preserve the N=1 supersymmetry (-++). Eqn. (4.8) is solved by (3.11), (3.13) and

$$tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_a^2} = \frac{\pi}{2} - tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{6} - tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2} \tag{4.9}$$

Eqn. (4.9) is solved by

$$n_a^2 = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

Using also that ϵ is negative (see eqn.(3.24)) results in the condition (3.26), that was derived by demanding that the spartner of l_L is generated at the intersection be, fixing the U_3 modulus at its value (3.27). Let us assume that the same supersymmetry (-++) is preserved at the ab* intersection. Then conditions (4.10) and also (3.26) which solve (4.10) at intersection ac, also solve the N=1 supersymmetry condition at ab*, namely

$$ab*: \quad (tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_1 U_1}{n_b^1}) + (tan^{-1}\frac{\epsilon\beta_2 U_2}{n_a^2}) + (tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{6} + tan^{-1}\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}U_3}{2}) = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

Condition (4.11) generates the spartner of q_L.

4.2 Producing the axion via gluon fusion

Axionic gluon fusion (AGF) has been discussed in a string theoy context in [41], [36]. The axion AGF could be present in our models if a non-zero coupling of axion to the $SU(3)^2$ field strength and the photon F^2 exists. Thus we need

$$n_b^1 = 0, \quad n_e^2 = 0 \ (or \ n_b^1 = 0, \ n_d^2 = 0) \tag{4.12}$$

[13] Alternatively, we could have let $n_e^2 \neq 0$, in which case, necessarily, since we have to break the extra U(1) (2.6), we will generate the required gauge singlet scalars by enforcing N=1 supersymmetry at the de intersection (see eqn. (3.14)). This procedure generates the two sneutrinos $\tilde{N}_R$, superpartners of N_R, both localized at the same intersection. One of them, could be used to break the U(1) (2.6).

In [36] the value of n_b^1 was allowed from RR tadpole conditions to be chosen equal to zero. In our models the conditions (4.12) are obtained, as we require the presence of Higgses, higgsinos and $\tilde{\nu}_R$ simultaneously with the SM quarks and leptons. As we have already discussed, this is achieved with the simultaneous presence of supersymmetry on intersections, bc, bc* and ce respectively. As seen from (4.1), (4.6), the QCD coefficient g_g in (4.1) is proportional to the n_a^2 coefficient. Similarly, the hypercharge related g_Y in (4.1) is related to the n_a^2, n_d^2, n_e^2 coefficients since the hypercharge depends on $U(1)^a$, $U(1)^d$, $U(1)^e$. Values of the ratios g_s/f, g_Y/f constrained by dijet constraints, such that gluonic fusion is achieved where further discsussed in [36].

5 Conclusions

We have shown that the sneutrino can explain the 750 GeV diphoton excess, produced via gluon fusion, within the context of high scale D-brane string models. Bottom quarks with charges 1/3 contribute to the diphoton excess and mix with the SM down quarks together with higgsinos. We have not considered the contribution of scalars to the diphoton excess in detail in this work. The mass of the sneutrino can be naturally as low as 750 GeV, as a result of variation of the complex structure modulus U_3 on a 2-dimensional torus (turing on a Fayet Iliopoulos term). The variation is small (at least 1 % of U_3) only for values of the string scale $M_s \in [10^{14}, 10^{19}]$ GeV. We have also dicsussed the possibility that the axion is responsible for the diphoton excess. In the present class of models an axion always remain masless as it does not get a mass from the generalized Green-Schwarz mechanism involving the Stuelkeberg BF couplings. In this case, the diphoton signal could be produced either from photon fusion or gluon fusion. The couplings of the axions to SU(2) gauge bosons becomes naturally zero, as a result of the supersymmetry condition involving the angles between the branes b, c and b, c*, that generates the scalar Higgses needed for electroweak symmetry breaking. We have also shown that in the case where no coupling between the axions and the colour SU(3) G^2 (as considered in [35]) exists, the zero interaction result can be justified in our non-supersymmetric D6-brane model, due to the presence of N=1 supersymmetry in the intersections $ab*$, ac, be, ce, where q_L, U_R, l_L, ν_R are localized respectively. Thus the superpartners of the q_L, U_R, l_L, ν_R should also be present in the low energy effective action of the 5-stack D6-brane model.

6 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Ignatios Antoniadis for a crucial dicsussion and also Dieter Lust for reading the manuscript. I would like also to thank Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, LMU Munich for its warm hospitality where this work was completed.

References

[1] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., Search for resonances in diphoton events at $\sqrt{s}$=13 TeV with the ATLAS detector, ATLAS-CONF-2015-081

[2] CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV, CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004.

[3] M. Delmastro [on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration], Diphoton searches in ATLAS, 51st Rencontres de Moriond (Electroweak session) 17 May 2016, La Thuile (Italy), ATLAS note CONF-2016–018

[4] P. Musella [on behalf of the CMS Collaboration], Search for high mass diphoton resonances at CMS, 51st Rencontres de Moriond (Electroweak session) 17 May 2016, La Thuile (Italy), CMS note PAS EXO-16-018

[5] CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in 3.3 fb1 of proton-proton collisions at s = 13 TeV and combined interpretation of searches at 8 TeV and 13 TeV, CMS-PAS-EXO-16-018

[6] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura, arXiv:1512.04850 [hep-ph].

[7] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi and A. Djouadi, arXiv:1512.04913 [hep-ph].

[8] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti and D. Redigolo, arXiv:1512.04917 [hep-ph].

[9] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi and G. Moreau, arXiv:1512.04921 [hep-ph].

[10] Y. Nakai, R. Sato and K. Tobioka, arXiv:1512.04924 [hep-ph].

[11] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci and K. Zurek, arXiv:1512.04928 [hep-ph].

[12] A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 015017 (2016), [arXiv:1512.04931 [hep-ph]].

[13] R. Franceschini *et al.*, What is the gamma gamma resonance at 750 GeV?, arXiv:1512.04933 [hep-ph].

[14] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola and M. Raidal, arXiv:1512.04939 [hep-ph].

[15] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz and T. You, arXiv:1512.05327 [hep-ph].

[16] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1512.05295 [hep-ph].

[17] M. Low, A. Tesi and L. T. Wang, arXiv:1512.05328 [hep-ph].

[18] C. Petersson and R. Torre, arXiv:1512.05333 [hep-ph].

[19] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, arXiv:1512.05334 [hep-ph].

[20] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze and T. Li, arXiv:1512.05439 [hep-ph].

[21] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, arXiv:1512.05771 [hep-ph].

[22] S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov, arXiv:1512.05723 [hep-ph].

[23] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff and C. Royon, arXiv:1512.05751 [hep-ph].

[24] J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal and T. Srivastava, arXiv:1512.05767 [hep-ph].

[25] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz and J. Terning, arXiv:1512.05776 [hep-ph].

[26] A. Falkowski, O. Slone and T. Volansky, arXiv:1512.05777 [hep-ph].

[27] J. S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki and R. R. de Austri, arXiv:1512.06083 [hep-ph].

[28] A. Alves, A. G. Dias and K. Sinha, arXiv:1512.06091 [hep-ph].

[29] E. Megias, O. Pujolas and M. Quiros, arXiv:1512.06106 [hep-ph].

[30] J. Bernon and C. Smith, arXiv:1512.06113 [hep-ph].

[31] W. S. Cho, D. Kim, K. Kong, S. H. Lim, K. T. Matchev, J. C. Park and M. Park, arXiv:1512.06824 [hep-ph].

[32] J. J. Heckman, 750 GeV diphotons from a D3-brane, arXiv:1512.06773 [hep-ph].

[33] M. Cvetic, J. Halverson and P. Langacker, String consistency, heavy exotics, and the 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC, arXiv:1512.07622 [hep-ph].

[34] L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, D. Lust and T. R. Taylor, 750 GeV diphotons from closed string states, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 312 (2016), [arXiv:1512.08502 [hep-ph]];

[35] L. A. Anchordoqui, I. Antoniadis, H. Goldberg, X. Huang, D. Lust and T. R. Taylor, Update on 750 GeV diphotons from closed string states, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 223 (2016), [arXiv:1603.08294 [hep-ph]].

[36] L. E. Ibanez and V. Martin-Lozano, A megaxion at 750 GeV as a first hint of low scale string theory, arXiv:1512.08777 [hep-ph].

[37] A. Karozas, S. F. King, G. K. Leontaris and A. K. Meadowcroft, 750 GeV diphoton excess from E_6 in F-theory GUTs, arXiv:1601.00640 [hep-ph].

[38] A. E. Faraggi and J. Rizos, The 750 GeV diphoton LHC excess and extra Z's in heterotic-string derived models, arXiv:1601.03604 [hep-ph].

[39] P. Anastasopoulos and M. Bianchi, Revisiting light stringy states in view of the 750 GeV diphoton excess, arXiv:1601.07584 [hep-th].

[40] S. Abel and V. V. Khoze, Photo-production of a 750 GeV di-photon resonance mediated by Kaluza-Klein leptons in the loop, arXiv:1601.07167 [hep-ph].

[41] M. Cvetic, J. Halverson and P. Langacker, String consistency, heavy exotics, and the 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC: Addendum, arXiv:1602.06257 [hep-ph].

[42] T. Li, J. A. Maxin, V. E. Mayes and D. V. Nanopoulos, The 750 GeV diphoton excesses in a realistic D-brane model, arXiv:1602.09099 [hep-ph].

[43] G. K. Leontaris and Q. Shafi, Diphoton resonance in F-theory inspired flipped $SO(10)$, arXiv:1603.06962 [hep-ph].

[44] J. Ashfaque, L. Delle Rose, A. E. Faraggi and C. Marzo The LHC di-photon excess and Gauge Coupling Unification in Extra Z' Heterotic-String Derived Models, arXiv:1606.01052 [hep-ph].

[45] C. Kokorelis, New standard model vacua from intersecting branes, JHEP **0209**, 029 (2002) [hep-th/0205147].

[46] R. Ding, L. Huang, T. Li and B. Zhu, Interpreting 750 GeV Diphoton Excess with R-parity Violating Supersymmetry, arXiv:1512.06560 [hep-ph].

[47] B. C. Allanach, P. S. B. Dev, S. A. Renner and K. Sakurai, 750 GeV diphoton excess explained by a resonant sneutrino in R-parity violating supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 115022 (2016) [arXiv:1512.07645 [hep-ph]].

[48] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, New dimensions at a millimeter to a Fermi and superstrings at a TeV, Phys. Lett. B **436** (1998) 257 [hep-ph/9804398].

[49] I. Antoniadis, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Millimeter range forces in superstring theories with weak scale compactification, Nucl. Phys. B **516**, 70 (1998) [hep-ph/9710204].

[50] C. Kokorelis, Exact standard model structures from intersecting D5-branes, Nucl. Phys. B **677**, 115 (2004) [hep-th/0207234].

[51] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, Standard model at intersecting D5-branes: Lowering the string scale, Nucl. Phys. B **643**, 93 (2002) [hep-th/0205074].

[52] L. A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, D. Lust, S. Nawata, S. Stieberger and T. R. Taylor, Dijet signals for low mass strings at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 241803 (2008) [arXiv:0808.0497 [hep-ph]].

[53] W. Z. Feng, G. Shiu, P. Soler and F. Ye, Building a Stckelberg portal, JHEP **1405**, 065 (2014) [arXiv:1401.5890 [hep-ph]].

[54] A. Celis, W. Z. Feng and D. Lst, Stringy explanation of b s^+ anomalies, JHEP **1602**, 007 (2016) [arXiv:1512.02218 [hep-ph]].

[55] D. M. Ghilencea, L. E. Ibanez, N. Irges and F. Quevedo, TeV scale Z-prime bosons from D-branes,' JHEP **0208**, 016 (2002) [hep-ph/0205083].

[56] R. Blumenhagen, M. Cvetic, P. Langacker and G. Shiu, Toward realistic intersecting D-brane models, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **55** (2005) 71 [arXiv:hep-th/0502005]

[57] L. E. Ibanez, F. Marchesano and R. Rabadan, Getting just the standard model at intersecting branes, JHEP **0111**, 002 (2001) [hep-th/0105155].

[58] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C **63**, 189 (2009) [arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]].

[59] A. Djouadi, The Anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. I: The Higgs boson in the standard model, Phys. Rept. **457**, 1 (2008)0 [hep-ph/0503172].

[60] K. Benakli, L. Darm, M. D. Goodsell and J. Harz, The Di-Photon Excess in a Perturbative SUSY Model, arXiv:1605.05313 [hep-ph].

[61] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, SUSY quivers, intersecting branes and the modest hierarchy problem, JHEP **0207**, 009 (2002) [hep-th/0201205].

[62] D. Cremades, L. E. Ibanez and F. Marchesano, Intersecting brane models of particle physics and the Higgs mechanism, JHEP **0207**, 022 (2002) [hep-th/0203160].

[63] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, Low-Energy Theorems for Higgs Boson Couplings to Photons, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **30**, 711 (1979) [Yad. Fiz. **30**, 1368 (1979)].

[64] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Search for pair-produced vectorlike B quarks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s}$=8TeV, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 112009 (2016) [arXiv:1507.07129 [hep-ex]].

[65] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Search for production of vector-like quark pairs and of four top quarks in the lepton-plus-jets final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, JHEP **1508**, 105 (2015) [arXiv:1505.04306 [hep-ex]].

[66] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, F. Riva, A. Strumia and R. Torre, Digamma, what next?, arXiv:1604.06446 [hep-ph].

[67] A. Strumia, Interpreting the 750 GeV digamma excess: a review, arXiv:1605.09401 [hep-ph].